

## Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment

### Perchlorate Initiatives

- ◆ Historical Perspective and Background
- ◆ Air Force and PSG Partnership
- ◆ TERA Peer Review Process and Objectives
- ◆ March and May Review Meetings
- ◆ Toxicology Studies - Status
- ◆ Data Evaluation and RfD Revision
- ◆ External Peer Review - Next Steps?

### Historical Perspectives - Basis for the Provisional RfD

- ◆ Initial correspondence to EPA Region IX (Dec 92) from Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center
  - NOAEL=0.14mg/kg-day (Stanbury & Wyngaarden)
  - Uncertainty Factor (UF) = 1,000
    - ◆ intrahuman variability (10)
    - ◆ less than chronic data (10)
    - ◆ database deficiencies (10)
- ◆ Drinking water criteria = 3.5 ppb based on 70kg/2 L water

## **Basis for the Provisional RfD (continued)**

- ◆ Revision based on PSG submission to Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center
  - NOAEL=0.14mg/kg-day (Stanbury & Wyngaarden)
  - Uncertainty Factor (UF) = 300
    - ◆ intrahuman variability (10)
    - ◆ less than chronic data (10)
    - ◆ database deficiencies decreased (3)
- ◆ Drinking water criteria = 18 ppb based on 70kg/2 L water

## **Air Force and PSG Involvement March 1997 - Peer Review Meeting**

- ◆ ITER Peer Review
  - Parallels IRIS format
  - Representatives
    - ◆ Government (state and federal)
    - ◆ Industry
    - ◆ Health Canada
    - ◆ Environmental Group
- ◆ One of 3 Chemicals Reviewed

## Peer Review Process

- Independent experts were selected from government, industry, academia, consultants, and environmental groups by *TERA* Board of Trustees
- Conflict of interest disclosed by reviewers and discussed by panel at review meeting in March 1997; decisions on managing made by panel consensus
- Review of perchlorate RfD lasted 3 hours with this format:
  - presentation by sponsor
  - discussion by panel of database, hazard identification, dose response, and other issues
  - opportunity for registered observers to comment
  - polling panel for consensus
  - identification of outstanding issues

## ITER Perchlorate Objectives

- ◆ Evaluate data presented on perchlorate to establish a peer reviewed reference dose (RfD)
  - NOAEL=0.14mg/kg-day (Stanbury & Wyngaarden)
  - Uncertainty Factor (UF) = 1,000
    - ◆ intrahuman variability (10)
    - ◆ less than chronic data (10)
    - ◆ database deficiencies (10)

## **March Peer Review Participants**

- ◆ Dr. Robert Benson, U.S. EPA, Region VIII
- ◆ Dr. John Christopher\*, California EPA
- ◆ Dr. Gary Diamond, Syracuse Research Corporation
- ◆ Dr. Marvin Friedman, Cytec Industries, Inc.
- ◆ Ms. Annie Jarabek, U.S. EPA, National Center for Environmental Assessment
- ◆ Ms. Bette Meek, Health Canada
- ◆ Dr. Kenneth Poirier, Procter and Gamble Company
- ◆ Dr. Jon Reid, University of Cincinnati
- ◆ Ms. Ruthann Rudel, Silent Spring Institute
- ◆ Experts Available to Peer Review Panel
  - Dr. James Fagin, University of Cincinnati Department of Endocrinology
  - Dr. Charles Capen, Ohio State University Department of Veterinary Biosciences
  - Dr. Daniel Caldwell, principal investigator of the Caldwell et al. (1996) study
- \* Dr. Christopher was not polled for consensus

## **March Peer Review Outcomes**

- ◆ Insufficient Data
- ◆ Recommended additional studies to be conducted
- ◆

## **Partnering Objectives for Perchlorate**

- ◆ To get the best scientific information on the toxicology of perchlorate for use by the decision makers and most importantly to the public
- ◆ Target areas of uncertainty to fill in data gaps
- ◆ Partner with all stakeholders
- ◆ Continue working on possible cleanup technologies and evaluating analytical methods

## **May Panel Objectives**

- ◆ Bring together the experts to determine what toxicology studies need to be conducted and secure the necessary funding and support
- ◆ Prioritize studies without regard to funding
  - Must do
  - Try to do
  - Interesting

## **May Perchlorate Study Protocol Review Meeting**

- ◆ Dr. Joe Brown, California EPA, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
- ◆ Dr. Dan Caldwell, Toxicologist, Belle Meade, NJ
- ◆ Dr. Dorothy Canter, U.S. EPA, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
- ◆ Dr. Charles Capen, Ohio State University, Department of Veterinary Biomedicine
- ◆ Dr. John Christopher, California EPA, Department of Toxic Substances Control
- ◆ Dr. Marvin Friedman, Cytec Industries, Inc.
- ◆ Mr. Greg Harvey, U.S. Air Force, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
- ◆ Ms. Annie Jarabek, U.S. EPA, National Center for Environmental Assessment
- ◆ Dr. David Morry, California EPA, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
- ◆ Dr. Marilyn Underwood, California Department of Health Services
- ◆ Dr. David R. Mattie, AFRL, USAF

## **May Panel Outcomes**

- ◆ Prioritized list of 8 Studies
- ◆ Agreement to continue as reviewers to develop and refine study protocols
- ◆ All final protocol information to be made available to the public through use of the world-wide-web on TERA's site
- ◆ Add reviewers and experts as needed
- ◆ Look for public educational opportunities

# Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment

May 1997

## Studies and Areas of Scientific Uncertainty In Reference Doses

STUDY	Description	H	A	S	D	L	Study's Usefulness
1. Neurobehavioral Developmental	tests nervous system of fetal, newborn and young animals	X			X		tests whether young animals are more sensitive than adults; <u>may</u> reduce H and <u>may</u> reduce D factor
2. 90-day, all other organs	tests many organs of young adult animals				X		Minimum database for RfD derivation; <u>may</u> reduce D factor
3. Receptor kinetics (in vitro studies; perchlorate discharge tests)	tests for mechanism of toxicity	X	X				shows if uncertainty factors for H and A can be changed from default values of 10
4. Segment II developmental	tests for birth defects				X		will reduce D factor
5. ADME - Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Elimination	compares how perchlorate is absorbed, metabolized, and excreted in animals and humans	X	X		X		Helps to evaluate if uncertainty factors for H and A can be changed from default values of 10; <u>may</u> affect value of D factor
6. Mutagenicity/ Genotoxicity	tests for mutations and toxic effects on DNA			X	X		<u>may</u> affect value of S and D factor
7. Reproductive	tests for reproductive performance in adults, and for toxicity in young animals				X		will reduce D factor
8. Immunotoxicity	tests for immunotoxicity in adults				X		<u>may</u> reduce value of D factor

Uncertainty factors for developing RfDs are as follows:

H = average human to sensitive human

S = short term to long term studies

D = data base deficiencies

A = animal to human

L = LOAEL to NOAEL

## Protocol Review Team (as of 12 Jan 98)

- ◆ Joe Brown, California EPA, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHa)
- ◆ Dan Caldwell, Toxicologist, Belle Meade, NJ
- ◆ Dorthy Canter, US EPA (OSWER)
- ◆ Charles Capen, Ohio State University
- ◆ John Christopher, California EPA, DTSC
- ◆ Eric Clegg, US EPA (NCEA)
- ◆ Kevin Crofton, US EPA National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory (NHEERL)
- ◆ Vicki Dellarco, US EPA (OW)
- ◆ Marvin Friedman, Cytec Industries, Inc
- ◆ Greg Harvey, USAF, Wright Patterson AFB
- ◆ Annie Jarabeck, US EPA (NCEA)
- ◆ Kevin Mayer, US EPA (Region IX)
- ◆ David Morry, California EPA (OEHHa)
- ◆ MaryJane Selgrade, US EPA (NHEERL)
- ◆ Marilyn Underwood, California Department of Health Services
- ◆ Brenda Pohlmann, Nevada Division of Environmental Protection

# Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment

## Studies, Cost and Time Frame

STUDY	Description	4Q97	1Q98	2Q98	3Q98	4Q98	Cost (thousands)	Sponsor
1. Neurobehavioral Developmental	tests nervous system of fetal, newborn and young animals	X	X	X			350	USAF
2. 90-day, all other organs	tests many organs of young adult animals	X	X	X			350	USAF
3. Receptor kinetics (in vitro studies, perchlorate discharge tests)	tests for mechanism of toxicity	X	X				in house literature review	USAF
4. Segment II developmental	tests for birth defects		X	X			101*	PSG
5. ADME - Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Elimination a. Literature Review b. Kinetics Proposals c. Thyroid Mechanistic Study (3 phases)	compares how perchlorate is absorbed, metabolized, and excreted in animals and humans	X	X	X	X	X	Internal 200 (USAF) 150+ (RTP)	USAF/PSG NASA NASA
6. Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity	tests for mutations and toxic effects on DNA		X	X			37	PSG
7. Reproductive	tests for reproductive performance in adults, and for toxicity in young animals		X	X	X	X	333*	PSG
8. Immunotoxicity	tests for immunotoxicity in adults			X	X	X	275	US Army

\* Does not include the analysis of thyroid hormones. If needed, this work is estimated to cost between 55 and 85 thousand dollars.

## Toxicity Study Review and RfD\* Revision

- ◆ Review of existing and new toxicity data
- ◆ Hazard identification
- ◆ Dose-response evaluation
  - Evaluation of Critical effect
  - Designation of effect level - mathematical modeling or NOAEL / LOAEL procedure
  - Assignment of Uncertainty Factor(s)
  - Uncertainty Characterization - Confidence Statements
- ◆ Internal peer review
- ◆ External peer review
- ◆ IRIS process\*

\* Revision of the oral RfD has received a commitment. If an inhalation RfC and the unit risk estimates (oral and inhalation) can be evaluated without significant additional delay, then this may be considered. Advantage would be easier entry into IRIS process.



## U.S. EPA Perchlorate Toxicity Assessment Team

◆ Harlal Choudhury	NCEA	general toxicology / risk assessment
◆ Eric Clegg	NCEA	reproductive toxicology
◆ Kevin Crofton	NHEERL	neurotoxicology
◆ Vicki Dellarco	OW	genetic toxicology
◆ Annie Jarabek	NCEA	general toxicology / risk assessment
◆ Gary Kimmel	NCEA	developmental toxicology
◆ MaryJane Selgrade	NHEERL	immunotoxicology